

MEASURES ON GRAPHS AND CERTAIN GROUPOID MEASURES

ILWOO CHO

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce several measures determined by a given finite directed graph. To construct σ -algebras for those measures, we consider several algebraic structures induced by G ; (i) the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\sim)$ of the shadowed graph $G^\sim = G \cup G^{-1}$ (ii) the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of G , (iii) the diagram set $D(G^\sim)$ and (iv) the reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\sim)$. The graph measures $\mu_{G^\sim}$ determined by (i) is the energy measure measuring how much energy we spent when we have some movements on G . The graph measures μ_δ determined by (iii) is the diagram measure measuring how long we moved consequently from the starting positions (which are vertices) of some movements on G . The graph measures $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\mu_{\delta r}$ determined by (ii) and (iv) are the (graph) groupoid measure and the (quotient-)groupoid measure, respectively. We show that above graph measurings are invariants on finite directed graphs, when we consider shadowed graphs are certain two-colored graphs. Also, we will consider the reduced diagram measure theory on graphs. In the final chapter, we will show that if two finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic, then the von Neumann algebras $L^\infty(\mu_1)$ and $L^\infty(\mu_2)$ are $*$ -isomorphic, where μ_1 and μ_2 are the same kind of our graph measures of G_1 and G_2 , respectively.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce certain measures induced by a finite directed graph and to introduce certain measures induced by a groupoid, in particular, a groupoid generated by a finite directed graph. Measure Theory of such measures is fundamental and easily understood but it is interesting because of that the theory is depending on combinatorial objects (i.e., graphs). In fact, the operator algebra depending on such measures looks much interesting than the measure theory. In the final chapter of this paper, we briefly consider a von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\mu_G)$, where μ_G is either one of our measures induced by a finite directed graph or one of our graph groupoid measures. This paper would be the first step of such combinatorial measure theoretic operator algebra. In this paper, we will concentrate on constructing such measures and considering their properties. We will show that our graph measuring is quite stable object since it is an invariant on finite directed graphs under certain additional assumption. From this invariance, we can see that if two finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic, then the corresponding our graph measures μ_{G_1} and μ_{G_2} are equivalent and hence the von Neumann algebras $L^\infty(\mu_{G_1})$ and $L^\infty(\mu_{G_2})$ are $*$ -isomorphic.

Date: June, 2006.

Key words and phrases. Finite Directed Graphs, Energy Measures, Diagram Measures, Graph Groupoid Measures, Reduced Diagram Measures, Graph Integrals, Graph Hilbert Spaces, Graph von Neumann Algebras.

I really appreciate all supports from Saint Ambrose University. I also thank Prof. T. Anderson and Prof. V. Vega for the valuable discussion.

Let G be a finite directed graph, with its vertex set $V(G)$ and its edge set $E(G)$. Throughout this paper, we say that a graph is finite if $|V(G)| < \infty$ and $|E(G)| < \infty$. Let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex. On the graph G , we can have a finite path $w = e_1 e_2 \dots e_k$, where $e_1, \dots, e_k$ are directed edges in $E(G)$. In this case, we say that the edges $e_1, \dots, e_k$ are admissible. Define the length $|w|$ of w by k which is nothing but the cardinality of the admissible edges constructing the finite path. Suppose a finite path w has its initial vertex (or its source) v_1 and its terminal vertex (or its range) v_2 . Then we write $w = v_1 w v_2$ to emphasize the initial vertex and the terminal vertex of w . Sometimes, we will denote $w = v_1 w$ (or $w = w v_2$), to emphasize the initial vertex of w (resp. the terminal vertex of w). If $w = v_1 w v_2$ with $v_1, v_2 \in V(G)$, then we also say that v_1 and w (resp. w and v_2) are admissible. Notice that every finite path w can be understood as a word in $E(G)$, under the admissibility. Denote the set of all finite paths including the empty word $\emptyset$ by $FP(G)$.

Let w_1 and w_2 be in $V(G) \cup FP(G)$ and assume that the product of two words $w_1 w_2$ of w_1 and w_2 is again contained in $V(G) \cup FP(G)$. Then we say that w_1 and w_2 are admissible. Note that, in general, even though w_1 and w_2 are admissible, w_2 and w_1 are not admissible, since the admissibility is totally depending on the direction on the graph G .

Define the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G) = V(G) \cup FP(G)$, with its binary operation $(\cdot)$ called the admissibility, where $\emptyset$ is the empty word in $V(G) \cup FP(G)$. The admissibility of on $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$ defined by

$$(w_1, w_2) \mapsto \begin{cases} w_1 w_2 & \text{if } w_1 w_2 \in V(G) \cup FP(G) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One of the main purpose of this paper is to introduce several measures on a finite directed graph. First, we will observe two kinds of graph measures μ_{G° and μ_δ on a graph G , so-called the energy measure and the diagram measure of the shadowed graph G° of G , respectively. The construction of μ_{G° and μ_δ is basically same, but their measurable spaces are different. The energy measure μ_{G° measures how much energy we spent when we moves on the shadowed graph G° . And the diagram measure μ_δ measures how long we moved consequently from the starting point (or a initial vertex of the movement) on the graph G° . Also, based on these measures, we consider the groupoid measures $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ and μ_{δ^r} called the graph groupoid measure and reduced diagram measure of G° , respectively..

To construct the measurable spaces of the energy measure μ_{G° , we will consider the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\circ)$ of the shadowed graph $G^\circ = G \cup G^{-1}$ of the given finite directed graph G , with its vertex set $V(G^\circ) = V(G) = V(G^{-1})$ and its edge set $E(G) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1})$, where G^{-1} is the shadow of G that is the opposite directed graph of G . Since G° is a new directed graph, we can construct the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\circ)$ of the shadowed graph G° . The pair $U_1 = (\mathbb{F}^+(G^\circ), P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\circ)))$ is a measurable space, where $P(X)$ means the power set of an arbitrary

set X . On this measurable space U_1 , we can define a measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ by $\mu_{G^\wedge} \stackrel{def}{=} d \cup \rho$ such that

$$\mu_{G^\wedge}(S) = d(S \cap V(G^\wedge)) + \rho(S \cap FP(G^\wedge)),$$

for all $S \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$, where d is the degree measure on $V(G^\wedge)$ defined by

$$d(V) = 0, \quad \text{for all } V \subseteq V(G^\wedge)$$

and where ρ is the length measure on $FP(G^\wedge)$ defined by

$$\rho(F) = \sum_{w \in F} |w|, \quad \text{for all } F \subseteq FP(G^\wedge).$$

This measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ is the energy measure of G .

To define the diagram-length measure μ_δ of G , we construct the algebraic structure $D(G^\wedge)$ called the diagram set of $G^\wedge$. Define the diagram map $\delta : \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ by $w \mapsto \delta_w$, where the diagram δ_w of w is nothing but the graphical image of w in $\mathbb{R}^2$ on G . The image $D(G^\wedge) = \delta(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$ of δ is a subset of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ and it also has its admissibility, as binary operation on it, inherited by that of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. i.e., $\delta_w \delta_{w'} = \delta_{ww'}$. Thus the diagram set $D(G^\wedge)$ with the inherited admissibility is again an algebraic structure induced by G . The measurable space $U_2 = (D(G^\wedge), P(D(G^\wedge)))$ is well-determined and the diagram measure $\mu_\delta = d \cup \rho$ is also well-defined by

$$\mu_\delta(S) = d(S \cap D_V(G^\wedge)) + \rho(S \cap D_{FP}(G^\wedge)),$$

for all $S \in P(D(G^\wedge))$, where $D_V(G^\wedge) \stackrel{def}{=} D(G^\wedge) \cap V(G^\wedge) = V(G^\wedge)$ and $D_{FP}(G^\wedge) \stackrel{def}{=} D(G^\wedge) \cap FP(G^\wedge)$. Here, d is the vertex measure and ρ is the diagram-length measure defined by $\rho \stackrel{def}{=} \rho|_{D_{FP}(G^\wedge)}$.

Based on $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ and μ_δ , we will think about certain groupoid measures. By defining the reducing relation (RR) on the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, we can construct the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of G , where

$$(RR) \quad ww^{-1} = v_1 \quad \text{and} \quad w^{-1}w = v_2,$$

for all $w = v_1 w v_2$ in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, with $v_1, v_2 \in V(G^\wedge)$. (If w is a vertex in $V(G^\wedge)$, we can regard it as $w = w w w$.) The graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ with the same admissibility on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ is indeed a category groupoid with its objects $V(G^\wedge)$ and its morphisms $FP_r(G^\wedge) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbb{G} \setminus V(G^\wedge)$. The graph groupoid measure $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ is nothing but $\mu_{G^\wedge}|_{\mathbb{G}}$ with respect to the measurable space $U_3 = (\mathbb{G}, P(\mathbb{G}))$.

Finally, define a reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\wedge)$ by the image of the reduced diagram map $\delta^r : \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ by $w \mapsto \delta_w^r$, where δ_w^r is the reduced diagram of w . i.e., an element δ_w^r of w is a diagram δ_w under (RR). i.e., $\delta^r = \delta^r \circ \delta$. The image $D_r(G^\wedge) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta^r(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)) = \delta^r(D(G^\wedge))$ is called a reduced diagram set and it has its inherited admissibility from $\mathbb{G}$. It is easy to check that the reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\wedge)$ is a sub-structure of the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of G . We can define the reduced diagram measure μ_{δ^r} by $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}|_{D_r(G^\wedge)}$ with respect to the measurable space $U_4 = (D_r(G^\wedge), P(D_r(G^\wedge)))$.

As a main result of this paper, we will show that such graph measurements are invariants on finite directed graphs under an additional assumption. i.e., the finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic if and only if the corresponding shadowed graphs $G_1^\wedge$ and $G_2^\wedge$ are two-colored-graph-isomorphic if and only if the corresponding graph measures are equivalent. To study algebraic structures (free semigroupoids, diagram sets, graph groupoids, and reduced diagram sets), itself would be also very interesting, since they are combinatorial object depending group-like structures which are not groups. For instance, we can understand diagram sets (resp. reduced diagram sets) as quotient structures of free semigroupoids (resp. graph groupoids).

With respect to those measures on graphs, we will consider Measure Theory. In particular, we will concentrate on observing Integration on the reduced diagram measure space $(D_r(G^\wedge), P(D_r(G^\wedge)), \mu_{\delta^r})$.

In the final chapter, we introduce von Neumann algebras induced by our graph measures. We can see that the graph von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\mu_{G_1})$ and $L^\infty(\mu_{G_2})$ are $*$ -isomorphic if the finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic, where μ_{G_k} are the same kind of our graph measures of G_k , for $k = 1, 2$.

1. MEASURES INDUCED BY A GRAPH

In this chapter, we will construct measures induced by a finite directed graph and observe their properties. For convenience, we will call such measures graph measures. We will define four kinds of graph measures. Two of them are measures measuring certain activity on a graph (the energy measure and the diagram measure) and the other two measures contain the measure theoretic information of a groupoid generated by the graph (the graph groupoid measure and the reduced diagram measure).

Throughout this chapter, let G be a finite directed graph with its vertex set $V(G)$ and its edge set $E(G)$. We say that edges $e_1, \dots, e_k$ are admissible (or connected in the order $(e_1, \dots, e_k)$) if there exists a finite path w such that $w = e_1 \dots e_k$. In this case, the length $|w|$ of this finite path w is defined to be k which is the cardinality

of directed edges constructing the finite path w . Denote the set of all finite paths by $FP(G)$. Clearly, the edge set $E(G)$ of G is contained in $FP(G)$, since all edges are regarded as finite paths with their lengths 1. Suppose w is an arbitrary finite path in $FP(G)$ and assume that it has its initial vertex (or a source) v_1 and its terminal vertex (or a range) v_2 . Then we denote w by $w = v_1 w v_2$ to emphasize its initial and terminal vertices. If a finite path w satisfies $w = v_1 w v_2$, then we also say that v_1 and w are admissible and that w and v_2 are admissible. Let w_1 and w_2 be finite paths in $FP(G)$ and suppose that there exists a finite path $w = w_1 w_2$ in $FP(G)$. Then the elements w_1 and w_2 are said to be admissible. Remark that even though w_1 and w_2 are admissible, in general, w_2 and w_1 are not admissible. For instance if $w_1 = v_1 w_1 v_2$ and $w_2 = v_2 w_2 v_3$ with $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V(G)$ such that $v_1 \neq v_3$, then w_1 and w_2 are admissible but w_2 and w_1 are not admissible. Notice that all finite paths in $FP(G)$ can be regarded as words in $E(G)$ under the admissibility. So, we naturally assume that the empty word $\emptyset$ is contained in $FP(G)$.

1.1. Free Semigroupoids and Diagram Sets of Shadowed Graphs.

Let G be a finite directed graph with its vertex set $V(G)$ and its edge set $E(G)$. Define a shadow G^{-1} of G by the opposite directed graph of G with its vertex set $V(G^{-1}) = V(G)$ and its edge set $E(G^{-1}) = \{e^{-1} : e \in E(G)\}$. Here the symbol e^{-1} of an edge e of G means the opposite directed edge of e . i.e., if $e = v e v'$ with $v, v' \in V(G)$, the shadow e^{-1} of e satisfies $e^{-1} = v_2 e^{-1} v_1$.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a finite directed graph and G^{-1} , the shadow of G . Define the shadowed graph $\hat{G}$ of G by a finite directed graph $\hat{G} = G \cup G^{-1}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} V(\hat{G}) &= V(G) = V(G^{-1}) \\ \text{and} \\ E(\hat{G}) &= E(G) \cup E(G^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For the new finite directed graph $\hat{G}$ induced by G , we can consider its free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$. Notice that the finite path set $FP(\hat{G})$ of the shadowed graph $\hat{G}$ of G does not satisfy $FP(\hat{G}) = FP(G) \cup FP(G^{-1})$. In fact,

$$FP(\hat{G}) \supsetneq FP(G) \cup FP(G^{-1}),$$

whenever $|E(\hat{G})| \geq 1$. For example, if $e \in E(G)$, then there exists a finite path ee^{-1} in $FP(\hat{G})$, but this finite path ee^{-1} is neither in $FP(G)$ nor $FP(G^{-1})$.

Now, define a map δ from the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of $\hat{G}$ into itself by

$$\delta : \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}), \quad w \mapsto \delta_w, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}),$$

where δ_w means the graphical image of w in $\mathbb{R}^2$ on G . The map δ is said to be the diagram map and the image δ_w of w is called the diagram of w , for all $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$.

Definition 1.2. Let G be a finite directed graph and $G^\wedge$, the shadowed graph of G and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ be the free semigroupoid of $G^\wedge$. Suppose δ is the diagram map on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. The image $\delta(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$ of δ in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ is called the diagram set and we denote it by $D(G^\wedge)$. Let w be an element in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ satisfying that $\delta_w = w$. Then w is said to be basic in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. Under the inherited admissibility from $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, the diagram set $D(G^\wedge)$ is a substructure of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. i.e., $\delta_{w_1} \cdot \delta_{w_2} = \delta_{w_1 w_2}$, for all $\delta_{w_1}, \delta_{w_2} \in D(G^\wedge)$. We will denote the diagram set $(D(G^\wedge), \cdot)$ simply by $D(G^\wedge)$.

By definition, we can re-define the set $D(G^\wedge)$ by the subset of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ consisting of all basic elements. It is easy to see that all vertices and all edges are basic elements. Suppose $l = v l v$ is a loop finite path in $FP(G^\wedge)$, with $v \in V(G^\wedge)$, and assume that its diagram δ_l is identified with w_0 in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l = w_0^n$. If $n = 1$, then $l = w_0$ is the basic element in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. In fact, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the diagrams $\delta_{l^n} = w_0$, in $D(G^\wedge)$.

Consider the admissibility on $D(G^\wedge)$. If δ_1 and δ_2 are diagrams in $D(G^\wedge)$, then there exist basic elements w_1 and w_2 such that $w_1 = \delta_1$ and $w_2 = \delta_2$, respectively. Suppose that $w_1 w_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then we have that $\delta_1 \delta_2 = \delta_{w_1 w_2}$. Otherwise, $\delta_1 \delta_2 = \delta_\emptyset = \emptyset$.

Proposition 1.1. Define a relation $\mathcal{R}$ on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ by

$$w_1 \mathcal{R} w_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \delta_{w_1} = \delta_{w_2}, \text{ for } w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge).$$

Then the relation $\mathcal{R}$ is an equivalent relation on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. $\square$

So, the substructure $D(G^\wedge)$ of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ can be understood as a quotient algebraic structure $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) / \mathcal{R}$, with its quotient map δ . Also, diagrams are understood as equivalence classes.

1.2. Graph Groupoids and Reduced Diagram Sets.

In this section, we will define graph groupoids and reduced diagram sets, by defining so-called the reducing relation. The purpose of this section is to construct certain groupoid measures induced by graphs.

Definition 1.3. Let G be a finite directed graph and $G^\wedge$, the shadowed graph of G and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ be the free semigroupoid of $G^\wedge$. Define the reducing relation (RR) on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ by

$$(RR) \quad ww^{-1} = v_1 \text{ and } w^{-1}w = v_2,$$

for all $w = v_1 w v_2$ in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, with $v_1, v_2 \in V(G^\wedge)$. (We can regard a vertex v by $v v v$.) The graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of G is defined by the set $\mathbb{F}_r^+(G^\wedge)$ with the same admissibility on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ under (RR). i.e., $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}_r^+(G^\wedge), \cdot)$. Finite paths in $\mathbb{G}$ are called reduced finite paths.

Let $w = v_1 w v_2$ be a finite path in $FP(G^\wedge)$, with $v_1, v_2 \in V(G^\wedge)$. Then both ww^{-1} and $w^{-1}w$ are finite paths contained in $FP(G^\wedge) \subset \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. However, these elements ww^{-1} and $w^{-1}w$ are identified with vertices v_1 and v_2 in $\mathbb{F}_r^+(G^\wedge)$ under the reducing relation (RR). More generally, if we have a finite path $w = w_1 \dots w_k w_k^{-1} \dots w_n \neq \emptyset$ in $FP(G^\wedge)$, then this element w is identified with $w' = w_1 \dots w_{k-1} w_{k+1} \dots w_n$ in $\mathbb{G}$. Notice that since $w \neq \emptyset$, w_{k-1} and w_{k+1} are admissible via $w_k w_k^{-1}$ which is a vertex in $\mathbb{G}$, and hence $w' \neq \emptyset$ is well-determined both in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ and $\mathbb{G}$. For convenience, we denote the reduced finite path set in $\mathbb{G}$ by $FP_r(G^\wedge)$. i.e., $FP_r(G^\wedge) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{F}_r^+(G^\wedge) \setminus V(G^\wedge)$. Notice that the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of G is indeed a categorial groupoid with its objects $V(G^\wedge)$ and its morphisms $FP_r(G^\wedge)$.

For the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of G , we define a map $\delta^r : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by $\delta^r|_{\mathbb{G}}$, where δ is the diagram map defined in Section 1.1. i.e., the map δ^r is the diagram map on $\mathbb{G}$. The map δ^r is said to be the reduced diagram map on $\mathbb{G}$ and the images δ_w^r of elements $w \in \mathbb{G}$ are called the reduced diagrams of w .

Definition 1.4. Let G be a finite directed graph and $\mathbb{G}$, the corresponding graph groupoid of G and let δ^r be the reduced diagram map on $\mathbb{G}$. Then the image $D_r(G^\wedge) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta^r(\mathbb{G})$ is called the reduced diagram set with the inherited admissibility on $\mathbb{G}$. If an element $w \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfies $\delta_w^r = w$, then we say that w is a basic element in $\mathbb{G}$.

Recall that the diagram set $D(G^\wedge)$ can be regarded as a quotient structure $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) / \mathcal{R}$ of the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, by considering the diagram map δ as a quotient map providing an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. Similarly, under the reducing relation (RR), we can understand the reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\wedge)$ is a quotient structure of the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ with its quotient map δ^r .

Proposition 1.2. Define a relation $\mathcal{R}_r$ on the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of G by

$$w_1 \mathcal{R}_r w_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \delta_{w_1}^r = \delta_{w_2}^r, \text{ for all } w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{G}.$$

Then the relation $\mathcal{R}_r$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{G}$. $\square$

1.3. Energy Measures and Diagram Measures on Graphs.

In this section, we will define two kinds of measures induced by a finite directed graph so-called the energy measures and diagram measures. To do that we will define certain measurable spaces for them. Throughout this section, we also let G be a finite directed graph and $G^\wedge$, the shadowed graph of G and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ be the free semigroupoid of $G^\wedge$ and $D(G^\wedge)$, the diagram set of $G^\wedge$. We define the energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ of G in the following definition. This measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ measures how much energy we spent when we make some movements on the graph G . The movement on G is represented by a finite path. The energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ consists of the vertex measure d and the finite path measure ρ . Throughout this paper, we will let the vertex measure d be the constant function 0. The finite path measure ρ measures the energy to move along the routes (finite paths). For convenience, we will assume that all vertices have no energy and that all edges have the same quantities of energy. However, by giving the weights to edges, we can consider the case when edges have the different quantities. We will not consider such weighted case in this paper.

Definition 1.5. *Let G be the given graph and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ be the free semigroupoid of the shadowed graph $G^\wedge$ of G . The pair $(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge), P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)))$ is called the energy measurable space of G , where $P(X)$ means the power set of an arbitrary set X . Define the energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ of G by a measure determined on the σ -algebra $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$ satisfying that $\mu_{G^\wedge} = d \cup \rho$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_{G^\wedge}(S) = d(S \cap V(G^\wedge)) + \rho(S \cap FP(G^\wedge)),$$

for all $S \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$, where d is the vertex measure on $P(V(G^\wedge))$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad d(V) = 0, \text{ for all } V \subseteq V(G^\wedge),$$

and where ρ is the length measure on $P(FP(G^\wedge))$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \rho(F) = \sum_{w \in F} |w|, \text{ for all } F \subseteq FP(G^\wedge).$$

Remark 1.1. *We can regard the length measure ρ as a weighted length measure, by giving weights $\lambda_e \in \mathbb{R}^+$ to edges $e \in E(G^\wedge)$. In this case, a weight of a finite path $w = e_1 \dots e_k$ with admissible edges $e_1, \dots, e_k$ can be defined by $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_{e_i}$. The unweighted case is understood as the weighted case when all weights are 1. Also, instead of giving the zero measure for the vertex measure, we can put some nonzero measures for the vertices. For instance, suppose each vertex v has its nonzero measure λ_v . Then the vertex measure $d(V)$ of $V \subset V(G^\wedge)$ is $\sum_{v \in V} \lambda_v \neq 0$, whenever V is nonempty in $V(G^\wedge)$. Also, in this case, if $w = e_1 \dots e_k \neq \emptyset$ in $FP(G^\wedge)$ and $e_j = v_j e_j v'_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, k$, then the length measure ρ might be*

$$\rho(\{w\}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{e_j} + \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_{v_j} + \lambda'_{v'_j}).$$

Remark that the vertices v_j and v'_j are not necessarily distinct in $V(\hat{G})$. However, by the purpose of energy measures, it is reasonable to put the vertex measure d to the zero measure.

The triple $(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}), P(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})), \mu_{\hat{G}})$ is a measure space. We denote this triple simply by $(\hat{G}, \mu_{\hat{G}})$.

Now, we will define the diagram measure μ_δ on a measurable space $(D(\hat{G}), P(D(\hat{G})))$. This measure μ_δ measures how long distance we moved consequently from the starting position (a vertex) on the graph G .

Definition 1.6. Let G be a finite directed graph and $D(\hat{G})$ be the diagram set of the shadowed graph $\hat{G}$ of G . Define the diagram measure μ_δ by a measure μ_δ on the σ -algebra $P(D(\hat{G}))$ by $\mu_\delta = d \cup \rho$, where

$$(1.4) \quad d = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \rho|_{D(\hat{G}) \cap FP(\hat{G})}.$$

i.e., the diagram measure μ_δ is the restriction $\mu_{\hat{G}}|_{P(D(\hat{G}))}$ of the energy measure $\mu_{\hat{G}}$.

Example 1.1. Let G_N be the one-vertex- N -loop-edge graph. i.e., the graph G_N is the finite directed graph with $V(G_N) = \{v\}$ and $E(G_N) = \{l_k = v l_k v : k = 1, \dots, N\}$. The shadowed graph $\hat{G}_N$ is the directed graph with $V(\hat{G}_N) = \{v\}$ and $E(\hat{G}_N) = \{l_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, l_N^{\pm 1}\}$. So, we can have the finite path set

$$FP(\hat{G}_N) = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m} \mid \begin{array}{l} k_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \ n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We can easily compute that the energy measure of $\{l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m}\} \subset FP(\hat{G}_N)$ is

$$\mu_{\hat{G}_N}(\{l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m}\}) = \rho(\{l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m}\}) = \sum_{j=1}^m |n_j|.$$

Let $D_{FP}(\hat{G}_N)$ be the subset $D(\hat{G}_N) \setminus (V(\hat{G}_N) \cup \{\emptyset\})$. Then

$$D_{FP}(\hat{G}_N) = \cup_{m=1}^N \left\{ l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m} \mid \begin{array}{l} k_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \ r_i \in \{\pm 1\} \\ i = 1, \dots, m, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}.$$

So, the diagram measure of $\{l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m}\} \subset D_{FP}(\hat{G}_N)$ is

$$\mu_\delta(\{l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m}\}) = \rho(\{l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m}\}) = m.$$

Remark that the diagram $\delta(l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m})$ of $l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m}$ is identical to the basic element $l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m}$, where $r_j = 1$ if $n_j > 0$, and $r_j = -1$ if $n_j < 0$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Notice that the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_N)$ is an infinite set, but the diagram set

$D(\hat{G}_N)$ is a finite set. This shows that the σ -algebra $P(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_N))$ for the energy measure $\mu_{\hat{G}_N}$ consists of infinitely many elements and the σ -algebra $P(D(\hat{G}_N))$ for the diagram measure μ_δ consists of finitely many elements. And hence the energy measure $\mu_{\hat{G}_N}$ is not a bounded measure but the diagram measure μ_δ is a bounded measure. Recall that we say that a measure μ is bounded if $\mu(S) < \infty$, for all elements S in the σ -algebra for μ . In fact, in our case, it suffices to observe that

$$\mu_{\hat{G}_N}(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_N)) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_\delta(D(\hat{G}_N)) < \infty.$$

Even though the energy measure $\mu_{\hat{G}_N}$ of G_N is not bounded, this measure is locally bounded in the sense that $\mu_{\hat{G}_N}(S) < \infty$, for all finite subsets S of $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_N)$.

Example 1.2. Let C_N be the one-flow circulant graph. i.e., the graph C_N is a finite directed graph with $V(C_N) = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and $E(C_N) = \{e_j = v_j \rightarrow v_{j+1} : j = 1, \dots, N, v_{N+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_1\}$. The shadowed graph $\hat{C}_N$ of C_N is the finite directed graph with $V(\hat{C}_N) = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and $E(\hat{C}_N) = \{e_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, e_N^{\pm 1}\}$. The finite path set of the shadowed graph $\hat{C}_N$ of C_N is

$$\bigcup_{m_1 \geq m_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}} \left\{ e_{[i]}^{r_1} e_{[i+1]}^{r_1} \dots e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_1} e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_2} \dots e_{[i+m_1-m_2]}^{r_2} \mid \begin{array}{l} i = 1, \dots, N \\ [n] \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus \{[0]\} \\ r_1 \neq r_2 \in \{\pm 1\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[0], [1], \dots, [N]\}$ with its addition $[n_1] + [n_2] = [n_1 + n_2]$, where $[n]$ is the equivalence class of all numbers $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying that $k \equiv n \pmod{N}$. Then the energy measure of $\{e_{[i]}^{r_1} e_{[i+1]}^{r_1} \dots e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_1} e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_2} \dots e_{[i+m_1-m_2]}^{r_2}\} \subset FP(\hat{C}_N)$ is computed by

$$\mu_{\hat{C}_N}(\{e_{[i]}^{r_1} e_{[i+1]}^{r_1} \dots e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_1} e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_2} \dots e_{[i+m_1-m_2]}^{r_2}\}) = m_1 + m_2.$$

The set $D_{FP}(\hat{C}_N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D(\hat{C}_N) \setminus (V(\hat{C}_N) \cup \{\emptyset\})$ is determined by

$$D_{FP}(\hat{C}_N) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_{[i]} e_{[i+1]} \dots e_{[i+m]}, \\ e_{[i+m]} e_{[i+m-1]} \dots e_{[i]}^{-1} \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} i = 1, \dots, N \\ [n] \in \mathbb{Z}_N \end{array} \right\}.$$

So, the diagram measure of $\{e_{[i]}^{r_1} e_{[i+1]}^{r_1} \dots e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_1} e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_2} \dots e_{[i+m_1-m_2]}^{r_2}\} \subset D_{FP}(\hat{C}_N)$ is computed by

$$\mu_\delta(\{e_{[i]}^{r_1} e_{[i+1]}^{r_1} \dots e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_1} e_{[i+m_1]}^{r_2} \dots e_{[i+m_1-m_2]}^{r_2}\}) = m_1 + m_2.$$

Notice that $\mu_\delta(\{\delta_0\}) \leq 2N$, for $\delta_0 \in D_{FP}(\hat{C}_N)$, different from that $\mu_{\hat{C}_N}(\{w\}) \in \mathbb{N}$, for $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{C}_N)$. Also, notice that the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{C}_N)$ is an infinite set, but the diagram set $D(\hat{C}_N)$ is a finite set. Similar to the previous example, this observation says that the energy measure $\mu_{\hat{C}_N}$ of C_N is not a bounded measure

but the diagram measure μ_δ is a bounded measure. It is easy to check that μ_{G_N} is locally bounded.

1.4. Graph Groupoid Measures and Reduced Diagram Measures.

Recall that the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ of a finite directed graph G is the subset $\mathbb{F}_r^+(G^\wedge)$ of the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ of the shadowed graph $G^\wedge$ of G under the reducing relation (RR) with the inherited admissibility on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, and the reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\wedge)$ is the subset of the diagram set $D(G^\wedge)$ under (RR) with the inherited admissibility on $D(G^\wedge)$. Notice that we can regard $\mathbb{G}$ and $D_r(G^\wedge)$ as subsets (sub-structures) of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ and $D(G^\wedge)$, respectively. So, we can define the graph groupoid measure $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ and the reduced diagram measure μ_{δ^r} by the restrictions of energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ and the diagram measure μ_δ , respectively.

Definition 1.7. *The graph groupoid measure $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ is defined by the restricted measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}|_{\mathbb{G}}$ of the energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ on the σ -algebra $P(\mathbb{G})$. The reduced diagram measure μ_{δ^r} is defined by the restricted measure $\mu_\delta|_{D_r(G^\wedge)}$ of the diagram measure μ_δ on the σ -algebra $P(D_r(G^\wedge))$.*

Example 1.3. *Let G_N be the one-vertex- N -loop-edge graph. i.e., the graph G_N is the finite directed graph with $V(G_N) = \{v\}$ and $E(G_N) = \{l_k = v \ l_k \ v : k = 1, \dots, N\}$. We can have the reduced finite path set*

$$FP_r(G_N) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m} \left| \begin{array}{l} k_1 \neq k_2, k_2 \neq k_3, \dots, k_{m-1} \neq k_m \\ k_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Thus the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}_N$ of G_N is $\{\emptyset\} \cup V(G_N) \cup FP_r(G_N)$, as a set. We can easily compute that the graph groupoid measure of $\{l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m}\} \subset FP_r(G_N)$ is

$$\mu_{\mathbb{G}_N}(\{l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m}\}) = \sum_{j=1}^m |n_j|.$$

Let $D_{FP}^r(G_N)$ be the subset $D_r(G_N) \setminus (V(G_N) \cup \{\emptyset\})$. Then

$$D_{FP}^r(G_N) = \bigcup_{m=1}^N \left\{ l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m} \left| \begin{array}{l} k_1 \neq k_2, k_2 \neq k_3, \dots, k_{m-1} \neq k_m \\ k_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \quad r_1, \dots, r_m \in \{\pm 1\} \\ i = 1, \dots, m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

So, the reduced diagram measure of $\{l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m}\} \subset D_{FP}^r(G_N)$ is

$$\mu_{\delta^r}(\{l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m}\}) = m.$$

Remark that the reduced diagram $\delta^r(l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m})$ of $l_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{n_m} \in \mathbb{G}$ is identical to the basic element $l_{k_1}^{r_1} \dots l_{k_m}^{r_m}$, contained in $D_r(\hat{G})$, whenever $k_1 \neq k_2, k_2 \neq k_3, \dots, k_{m-1} \neq k_m$, and $r_j = 1$, if $n_j > 0$, and $r_j = -1$, if $n_j < 0$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Notice that the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}_N$ of G_N is an infinite set, but the reduced diagram set $D_r(\hat{G}_N)$ is a finite set. Hence the graph groupoid measure $\mu_{\mathbb{G}_N}$ is not a bounded measure but the diagram measure μ_{δ^r} is a bounded measure.

Example 1.4. *Let C_N be the one-flow circulant graph. The reduced finite path set of the shadowed graph $\hat{C}_N$ of C_N is*

$$FP_r(\hat{C}_N) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e_{[i]}e_{[i+1]} \dots e_{[i+m]}, \\ e_{[i+m]}^{-1}e_{[i+m-1]}^{-1} \dots e_{[i]}^{-1} \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} i = 1, \dots, N \\ [n] \in \mathbb{Z}_N \end{array} \right\}.$$

Denote the graph groupoid of C_N by Δ_N . Then the graph groupoid measure of $\{e_{[i]} e_{[i+1]} \dots e_{[i+m]}\} \subset FP_r(\hat{C}_N)$ is computed by

$$\mu_{\Delta_N}(\{e_{[i]}e_{[i+1]} \dots e_{[i+m]}\}) = m.$$

The set $D_{FP}^r(\hat{C}_N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_r(\hat{C}_N) \setminus (V(\hat{C}_N) \cup \{\emptyset\})$ is determined by

$$D_{FP}^r(\hat{C}_N) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e_{[i]}e_{[i+1]} \dots e_{[i+m]}, \\ e_{[i+m]}^{-1}e_{[i+m-1]}^{-1} \dots e_{[i]}^{-1} \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} i = 1, \dots, N \\ [n] \in \mathbb{Z}_N \end{array} \right\}.$$

So, the diagram measure of $\{e_{[i]} e_{[i+1]} \dots e_{[i+m]}\} \subset D_{FP}^r(\hat{C}_N)$ is computed by

$$\mu_{\delta^r}(\{e_{[i]}e_{[i+1]} \dots e_{[i+m]}\}) = m.$$

Notice that $\mu_{\delta^r}(\{\delta_0\}) \leq N$, for $\delta_0 \in D_{FP}(\hat{C}_N)$, different from that $\mu_{\Delta_N}(\{w\}) \in \mathbb{N}$, for $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{C}_N)$. The graph groupoid measure μ_{Δ_N} of C_N is not a bounded measure but the reduced diagram measure μ_{δ^r} is a bounded measure.

1.5. Graph Measurements are Invariants on Graphs.

In this section, we will show that all graph measures we defined in the previous sections are invariants on finite directed graphs. i.e., if we denote μ_G as one of our graph measures, then μ_{G_1} and μ_{G_2} are equivalent measures if and only if the graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic. Recall that two graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic, if there is a graph-isomorphism $g : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that (i) g is a bijection from $V(G_1)$ onto $V(G_2)$, (ii) g is also a bijection from $E(G_1)$ onto $E(G_2)$, and (iii) if $e = v e v'$ in $E(G_1)$ with $v, v' \in V(G_1)$, then $g(e) = g(v) g(e) g(v')$ in $E(G_2)$.

Definition 1.8. Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graphs and $\hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2$, the corresponding shadowed graphs and let $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_k)$, $D(\hat{G}_k)$ and $\mathbb{G}_k$, $D_r(\hat{G}_k)$ be the free semigroupoid of $\hat{G}_k$, the diagram set of $\hat{G}_k$ and the graph groupoid of $\hat{G}_k$, the reduced diagram set of $\hat{G}_k$, respectively, for $k = 1, 2$.

(1) We say that the free semigroupoids $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_k)$'s are isomorphic if there exists a morphism $\hat{g} : \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_2)$ such that $\hat{g}$ is bijective and $\hat{g}$ preserves the admissibility on $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_1)$ to that on $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_2)$. i.e., $\hat{g}(w_1 w_2) = \hat{g}(w_1) \hat{g}(w_2)$, for all $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_1)$, with $\hat{g}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

(2) The diagram sets $D(\hat{G}_k)$'s are said to be isomorphic if there exists a morphism $g_\delta : D(\hat{G}_1) \rightarrow D(\hat{G}_2)$ such that g_δ is bijective and g_δ preserves the admissibility on $D(\hat{G}_1)$ to that of $D(\hat{G}_2)$. i.e., $g_\delta(\delta_1 \delta_2) = g_\delta(\delta_1) g_\delta(\delta_2)$, for all $\delta_1 \delta_2 \in D(\hat{G}_1)$.

(3) We say that the graph groupoids $\mathbb{G}_k$'s are isomorphic if there exists a morphism $\tilde{\delta} : \mathbb{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_2$ such that $\tilde{\delta}$ is bijective and it preserves the admissibility on $\mathbb{G}_1$ to that on $\mathbb{G}_2$.

(4) The reduced diagram sets $D_r(\hat{G}_k)$'s are isomorphic if there exists a morphism $g_{\delta^r} : D_r(\hat{G}_1) \rightarrow D_r(\hat{G}_2)$ such that g_{δ^r} is bijective and it preserves the admissibility on $D_r(\hat{G}_1)$ to that on $D_r(\hat{G}_2)$.

Let X be an arbitrary set and assume that X is partitioned by its subsets X_1 and X_2 . i.e., the set X is the disjoint union of X_1 and X_2 . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, where Y is a set. Denote a function f by $f_1 \cup f_2$ when f satisfies that

$$f(x) = (f_1 \cup f_2)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} f_1(x) & \text{if } x \in X_1 \\ f_2(x) & \text{if } x \in X_2, \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$, and $f_1(X) \cap f_2(X) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.3. Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graphs. The shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are graph-isomorphic if and only if the graph measures μ_{S_1} and μ_{S_2} are equivalent, where $S_k \in \{\hat{G}_k, \delta_k, \mathbb{G}_k, \delta_k^r\}$, for $k = 1, 2$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that the shadowed graph $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are graph-isomorphic via the graph-isomorphism $g : \hat{G}_1 \rightarrow \hat{G}_2$. By this graph-isomorphism g , we can construct a morphism $\hat{g} : \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}_2)$ defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \hat{g}(w) = \begin{cases} g(w) & \text{if } w \in V(\hat{G}_1) \\ g(w) & \text{if } w \in E(\hat{G}_1) \\ g(e_1) \dots g(e_k) & \text{if } w = e_1 \dots e_k \in FP(\hat{G}_1) \\ \emptyset & \text{if } w = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then, by the bijectivity of g and by the admissibility-preserving property on of g , the map $\hat{g}$ is admissibility-preserving bijection, and hence $\mathbb{F}^+(G_1)$ and $\mathbb{F}^+(G_2)$ are isomorphic. Therefore, there exists a map $\hat{\Phi} : P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_1)) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_2))$ from the σ -algebra $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_1))$ onto the σ -algebra $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_2))$ defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \hat{\Phi}(S) \stackrel{def}{=} \{g^\wedge(w) : w \in S\}, \text{ for all } S \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_1)),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{G_2}(\hat{\Phi}(S)) &= \mu_{G_2}(\{g^\wedge(w) : w \in S\}) \\ &= \sum_{w \in S} \mu_{G_2}(\{g^\wedge(w)\}) = \sum_{w \in S} \mu_{G_1}(\{w\}), \end{aligned}$$

by (1.5). Clearly, by the bijectivity of $\hat{g}$, the map $\hat{\Phi}$ is also bijective. Therefore, the energy measures μ_{G_1} and μ_{G_2} are equivalent.

Since the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}_k$ are the structures $\mathbb{F}_r^+(G_k)$ (with reducing relation (RR)), the graph groupoid measures $\mu_{\mathbb{G}_k}$'s are equivalent similarly, for $k = 1, 2$.

Also, if G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic, then we can determine the morphism $g_\delta : D(G_1) \rightarrow D(G_2)$ defined by

$$(1.7) \quad g_\delta(\delta_w^1) = \delta_{g^\wedge(w)}^2, \text{ for all } \delta_w^1 \in D(G_1).$$

As we observed in Section 1.1, diagram sets are quotient structure of free semigroupoids. So, any diagrams in the diagram set are regarded as equivalence classes of elements in a free semigroupoid having the same diagrams. So, if δ_0^1 is an element of $D(G_1)$, then there always exists $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G_1)$ such that $\delta_0^1 = \delta_w^1$. By the morphism $\hat{g}$ defined in (1.5), we can determine $\hat{g}(\delta_w^1) \subseteq D(G_2)$. So, the map g_δ is a well-defined bijection between $D(G_1)$ and $D(G_2)$, and moreover it is a admissibility-preserving morphisms. i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} g_\delta(\delta_{w_1}^1 \delta_{w_2}^1) &= g_\delta(\delta_{w_1 w_2}^1) = \delta_{g^\wedge(w_1 w_2)}^2 = \delta_{g^\wedge(w_1) g^\wedge(w_2)}^2 \\ &= \delta_{g^\wedge(w_1)}^2 \delta_{g^\wedge(w_2)}^2 = g_\delta(\delta_{w_1}^1) g_\delta(\delta_{w_2}^1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the diagram sets $D(G_1)$ and $D(G_2)$ are isomorphic by the morphism g_δ . So, we can define a map Φ_δ from the σ -algebra $P(D(G_1))$ onto the σ -algebra $P(D(G_2))$ by

$$(1.8) \quad \Phi_\delta(S) = \{g_\delta(\delta_0^1) : \delta_0^1 \in S\}, \text{ for all } S \in P(D(G_1)).$$

Then, by g_δ , the map Φ_δ is bijective. Also we can have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\delta^2}(\Phi_\delta(S)) &= \mu_{\delta^2}(\{g_\delta(\delta_0^1) : \delta_0^1 \in S\}) = \sum_{\delta_0^1 \in S} \mu_{\delta^2}(\{g_\delta(\delta_0^1)\}) \\
&= \sum_{\delta_w^1 \in S} \mu_{\delta^2}(\{\delta_{g_\delta(w)}^2\}) = \sum_{\delta_w^1 \in S} \mu_{\delta^1}(\{\delta_w^1\}) = \mu_{\delta^1}(S).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the measures μ_{δ^1} and μ_{δ^2} are equivalent. Under the reducing relation (RR), similarly, we can conclude that the reduced diagram measures $\mu_{\delta^{r:k}}$ on $P(D_r(G_k^\wedge))$, for $k = 1, 2$, are equivalent.

($\Leftarrow$) Assume now that the graph measures $\mu_{G_1^\wedge}$ and $\mu_{G_2^\wedge}$ are equivalent. i.e., there exists a bijection $\Phi^\wedge : P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_1^\wedge)) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_2^\wedge))$ such that

$$(1.9) \quad \mu_{G_2^\wedge}(\Phi^\wedge(S)) = \mu_{G_1^\wedge}(S), \text{ for all } S \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_1^\wedge)).$$

Then since

$$P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_k^\wedge)) = \{S_V \cup S_{FP} : S_V \in P(V(G_k^\wedge)), S_{FP} \in P(FP(G_k^\wedge))\},$$

and

$$P(V(G_k^\wedge)) \cap P(FP(G_k^\wedge)) = \emptyset,$$

for $k = 1, 2$, the bijection $\Phi^\wedge$ is re-written as $\Phi^\wedge = \Phi_V^\wedge \cup \Phi_{FP}^\wedge$, where $\Phi_V^\wedge = \Phi^\wedge|_{P(V(G_1^\wedge))}$ and $\Phi_{FP}^\wedge = \Phi^\wedge|_{P(FP(G_1^\wedge))}$, defined by

$$\Phi^\wedge(S_V \cup S_{FP}) = (\Phi_V^\wedge \cup \Phi_{FP}^\wedge)(S_V \cup S_{FP}) \stackrel{def}{=} \Phi_V^\wedge(S_V) + \Phi_{FP}^\wedge(S_{FP}),$$

for all $S_V \cup S_{FP} \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G_1^\wedge))$. With respect to $\Phi_V^\wedge$, we can construct the bijection $g_V^\wedge : V(G_1^\wedge) \rightarrow V(G_2^\wedge)$ defined by

$$g_V^\wedge(v_1) \stackrel{def}{=} \Phi_V^\wedge(\{v_1\}), \text{ for all } v_1 \in V(G_1^\wedge),$$

and similarly, with respect to $\Phi_{FP}^\wedge$, we can construct the bijection $g_{FP}^\wedge : FP(G_1^\wedge) \rightarrow FP(G_2^\wedge)$ defined by

$$g_{FP}^\wedge(w_1) \stackrel{def}{=} \Phi_{FP}^\wedge(\{w_1\}), \text{ for all } w_1 \in FP(G_1^\wedge).$$

Then we have a bijection $g^\wedge = g_V^\wedge \cup g_{FP}^\wedge$ from $\mathbb{F}^+(G_1^\wedge)$ onto $\mathbb{F}^+(G_2^\wedge)$. Let's suppose that $g^\wedge$ does not preserve the admissibility. Then clearly $\Phi^\wedge$ does not satisfy (1.9), when we take $S = \mathbb{F}^+(G_1^\wedge)$. This contradict our assumption that $\mu_{G_k^\wedge}$'s are equivalent, for $k = 1, 2$. So, the map $g^\wedge$ is the admissibility-preserving bijective morphism. From this morphism $g^\wedge$, we can construct a graph-isomorphism $g : G_1^\wedge \rightarrow G_2^\wedge$ such that $g = g^\wedge|_{V(G^\wedge) \cup E(G^\wedge)}$.

Similar to the previous proof, we can conclude that if the graph groupoid measures $\mu_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{G}_2}$ are equivalent, then the shadowed graphs $G_1^\wedge$ and $G_2^\wedge$ are graph-isomorphic.

Suppose now that the diagram measures μ_{δ^1} and μ_{δ^2} are equivalent. i.e., there exists a bijection Φ_δ from the σ -algebra $P(D(G_1^\wedge))$ onto the σ -algebra $P(D(G_2^\wedge))$ satisfying that

$$(1.9)' \quad \mu_{\delta^2}(\Phi_\delta(S)) = \mu_{\delta^1}(S), \text{ for all } S \in P(D(G_1^\wedge)).$$

So, we can construct a map $g_\delta : D(G_1^\wedge) \rightarrow D(G_2^\wedge)$ defined by

$$g_\delta(\delta_1) = \delta_2 \in D(G_2^\wedge), \text{ whenever } \Phi_\delta(\{\delta_1\}) = \{\delta_2\},$$

for all $\delta_1 \in D(G_1^\wedge)$. Since Φ_δ is bijective from $\{\{\delta_1\} : \delta_1 \in D(G_1^\wedge)\}$ onto $\{\{\delta_2\} : \delta_2 \in D(G_2^\wedge)\}$, the map g_δ is bijective, too. Assume now that g_δ does not preserve the admissibility. Then this contradicts (1.9)'. Also since $D(G_k^\wedge)$ is the disjoint union of $V(G_k^\wedge)$ and $D_{FP}(G_k^\wedge)$, where $D_{FP}(G_k^\wedge) = D(G_k^\wedge) \cap FP(G_k^\wedge)$, there are $g_{\delta:V} = g_\delta|_{V(G_1^\wedge)}$ and $g_{\delta:FP} = g_\delta|_{D_{FP}(G_1^\wedge)}$ such that $g_\delta = g_{\delta:V} \cup g_{\delta:FP}$. Define $\hat{g} : G_1^\wedge \rightarrow G_2^\wedge$ by $\hat{g} = g_{\delta:V} \cup g_{\delta:E^*}$, where $g_{\delta:E^*} = g_{\delta:FP}|_{E(G_1^\wedge)}$. Then it is a graph-isomorphism from $G_1^\wedge$ onto $G_2^\wedge$.

Similarly, if the reduced diagram measures $\mu_{\delta_1^-}$ and $\mu_{\delta_2^-}$ of G_1 and G_2 are equivalent, then the shadowed graphs $G_1^\wedge$ and $G_2^\wedge$ are graph-isomorphic. ■

The above theorem shows that our graph measurements are invariants on shadowed graphs of finite directed graphs. Also, the proof of the previous theorem provides the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graphs. Then the shadowed graphs $G_1^\wedge$ and $G_2^\wedge$ are graph-isomorphic if and only if the free semigroupoids $\mathbb{F}^+(G_1^\wedge)$ and $\mathbb{F}^+(G_2^\wedge)$ are isomorphic if and only if the graph groupoids $\mathbb{G}_1$ and $\mathbb{G}_2$ are isomorphic if and only if the diagram sets $D(G_1^\wedge)$ and $D(G_2^\wedge)$ are isomorphic if and only if the reduced diagram sets $D_r(G_1^\wedge)$ and $D_r(G_2^\wedge)$ are isomorphic. □*

The corollary shows that the constructions of algebraic structures introduced in this paper are all invariants on *shadowed graphs* of finite directed graphs. It is easy to check that if two finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic, then the shadows G_1^{-1} and G_2^{-1} are graph-isomorphic, and hence the shadowed graphs $G_1^\wedge$ and $G_2^\wedge$ are graph-isomorphic.

Suppose the graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic by the graph-isomorphism $g_0^{(+1)} : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$. Then we have the graph-isomorphism $g_0^{(-1)}$ from the shadow G_1^{-1} of G_1 onto the shadow G_2^{-1} of G_2 . Since the admissibility on G_k^{-1} are oppositely preserved by that of G_k , for $k = 1, 2$, we can naturally define the isomorphism $g_0^{(-1)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_0^{(-1)}(v) \stackrel{def}{=} g_0^{(+1)}(v), \text{ for all } v \in V(G_1^{-1}) = V(G_1) \\
 \text{and} \\
 & g_0^{(-1)}(e^{-1}) \stackrel{def}{=} \left(g_0^{(+1)}(e) \right)^{-1}, \text{ for all } e \in E(G_1^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we can regard $g_0^{(\pm 1)}$ by $g_0^{(\pm 1)} = g_{0:V(G_1^{\pm 1})}^{(\pm 1)} \cup g_{0:E(G_1^{\pm 1})}^{(\pm 1)}$, where $g_{0:V(G_1)}^{(\pm 1)} = g_0^{(\pm 1)}|_{V(G_1^{\pm 1})}$ and $g_{0:E(G_1)}^{(\pm 1)} = g_0^{(\pm 1)}|_{E(G_1^{\pm 1})}$, since $V(G_k)$ and $E(G_k)$ are disjoint, for $k = 1, 2$. Then there exists a graph-isomorphism $g : \hat{G}_1 \rightarrow \hat{G}_2$ defined by $g = g_{0:V(G_1)}^{(+1)} \cup g_{0:E(G_1)}^{(+1)} \cup g_{0:E(G_1^{-1})}^{(-1)}$, since $E(G_k)$ and $E(G_k^{-1})$ are disjoint, for $k = 1, 2$. So, indeed, the shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are graph-isomorphic, whenever G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic. However, the converse does not hold true, in general.

Example 1.5. Let G_1 be a one-flow circulant graph C_3 with $V(G_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $E(G_1) = \{e_1 = v_1 e_1 v_2, e_2 = v_2 e_2 v_3, e_3 = v_3 e_3 v_1\}$. And let G_2 be a finite directed graph with $V(G_2) = \{v'_1, v'_2, v'_3\}$ and $E(G_2) = \{e'_1 = v'_1 e'_1 v'_2, e'_2 = v'_2 e'_1 v'_1, e'_3 = v'_3 e'_3 v'_1\}$. Then these two graphs are not graph-isomorphic. However, the shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are graph-isomorphic, since there exists a graph-isomorphism $g : \hat{G}_1 \rightarrow \hat{G}_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(v_k) = v'_k, \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, 3 \\
 \text{and} \\
 & g(e_1^{\pm 1}) = (e'_1)^{\pm 1}, \quad g(e_2^{\pm 1}) = (e'_2)^{\mp 1} \text{ and } g(e_3^{\pm 1}) = (e'_3)^{\pm 1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, even though G_1 and G_2 are not graph-isomorphic, the shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are graph-isomorphic. This shows that we cannot guarantee that if $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are graph-isomorphic, then G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic.

Therefore, we cannot conclude that our graph measurings are invariants on finite directed graphs. However, if we consider shadowed graphs as two-colored graphs, then we can get that our graph measurings are invariants on finite directed graphs. i.e., we can show that if two shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are two-colored-graph-isomorphic (under certain coloring), then the graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic.

Definition 1.9. Let G be a (finite) directed graph. We say that the graph G is colored if each edge $e \in E(G)$ has its color c_e . We assume that the vertices of colored graphs are not colored. Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed colored graphs. Then the graphs G_1 and G_2 are colored-graph-isomorphic if (i) G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic via the graph-isomorphism $g : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ and (ii) $g(c_e) = c_{g(e)}$, for all $e \in E(G_1)$. The morphism g satisfying (i) and (ii) is called the colored-graph-isomorphism.

By definition, the colored-graph-isomorphisms are graph-isomorphisms preserving the colorings. So, if two finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are colored-graph-isomorphic, then they are graph-isomorphic. Of course, the converse does not hold. From now, we will assume that all finite directed graphs are one-colored graphs having the same colorings. we will regard shadowed graphs of finite directed graphs as two-colored graphs.

Definition 1.10. *Let G be a finite directed graph with its coloring c_1 and let G^{-1} be the shadow of G . The shadowed graph $\hat{G}$ is a finite directed graph having*

$$V(\hat{G}) = V(G) = V(G^{-1}) \text{ and } E(\hat{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1})$$

with the two-colors c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1 = c_e \text{ and } c_2 = c_{e^{-1}}, \text{ for all } e \in E(G) \subset E(\hat{G}),$$

where c_f means the color of an edge $f \in E(\hat{G})$.

Example 1.6. *Let G_1 be the one-flow circulant graph C_3 and G_2 , a finite directed graph given in the previous example. We do know that G_1 and G_2 are not graph-isomorphic. In the previous example, we could construct a graph-isomorphism $g : \hat{G}_1 \rightarrow \hat{G}_2$, where $\hat{G}_k$ are shadowed graphs of G_k , for $k = 1, 2$, without considering the shadowed graphs are colored. Now, we consider $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are two-colored graphs having their colors $\{c_1^{(1)}, c_2^{(1)}\}$ and $\{c_1^{(2)}, c_2^{(2)}\}$, respectively. In particular, let $c_1^{(k)}$ be the color of all edges in $E(G_k)$ and let $c_2^{(k)}$ be the color of all edges in $E(G_k^{-1})$, for $k = 1, 2$. If g were a colored-graph-isomorphism from $\hat{G}_1$ to $\hat{G}_2$, then g should satisfy $g(c_i^{(1)}) = c_i^{(2)}$, for $i = 1, 2$. We can easily check that the graph-isomorphism g in the previous example does not preserve the coloring. Especially,*

$$g(c_{e_2}) = g(c_1^{(1)}) = c_2^{(2)} \neq c_1^{(2)} = c_{g(e_2)} = c_{e'_2},$$

for the edge e_2 in $E(G_1)$. So, by regarding shadowed graphs as two-colored graphs, the map g is not a colored-graph-isomorphism. Indeed, we can see that there is no morphisms preserving the coloring and the admissibility at the same time. In other words, the shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are not colored-graph-isomorphic and hence they are not isomorphic as shadowed graphs in the sense of above new definition.

In the rest of this section, we will assume shadowed graphs are two-colored graphs such that all edges of the given graphs have the same color c_1 and the shadows have the same color c_2 , where $c_1 \neq c_2$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graphs and let $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ be the corresponding (two-colored) shadowed graphs having the same two-coloring. If the shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are colored-graph-isomorphic, then the graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic.*

Proof. Suppose $\hat{g} : \hat{G}_1 \rightarrow \hat{G}_2$ is a colored-graph-isomorphism. Since $V(\hat{G}_k)$ and $E(\hat{G}_k)$ are disjoint, for $k = 1, 2$, we can rewrite $\hat{g}$ by $\hat{g} = \hat{g}_V \cup \hat{g}_E$, where $\hat{g}_V = \hat{g}|_{V(\hat{G}_1)}$ and $\hat{g}_E = \hat{g}|_{E(\hat{G}_1)}$. Notice that this morphism $\hat{g}$ preserves the coloring of $\hat{G}_1$ to that of $\hat{G}_2$. Since $E(\hat{G}_k)$ are partitioned $E(G_k)$ and $E(G_k^{-1})$ and since $E(G_k)$ and $E(G_k^{-1})$ have different colors, for $k = 1, 2$, we can rewrite $\hat{g}_E$ by $\hat{g}_E = \hat{g}_+ \cup \hat{g}_-$, where $\hat{g}_+ = \hat{g}_E|_{E(G_1)}$ and $\hat{g}_- = \hat{g}_E|_{E(G_1^{-1})}$. Moreover, if G_k has two colors $c_1^{(k)}$ and $c_2^{(k)}$, where $c_1^{(k)} = c_{e_k}$ and $c_2^{(k)} = c_{e_k^{-1}}$, for all $e_k \in E(G_k)$, for $k = 1, 2$, then we can have the map $\hat{g}_+$ and $\hat{g}_-$ satisfy that $\hat{g}_+(c_1^{(1)}) = c_1^{(2)}$ and $\hat{g}_-(c_2^{(1)}) = c_2^{(2)}$. Now, we can define the morphism $g : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ by $g = g_V \cup g_+$. Then this map g is a graph-isomorphism. ■

Corollary 1.6. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graphs. Then the graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic if and only if the shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are colored-graph-isomorphic. □*

By the previous theorem and by the previous proposition, if we regard shadowed graphs as two-colored graphs, then our graph measurements are invariants on finite directed graphs.

Theorem 1.7. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graphs. Then the graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic if and only if the graph measures μ_{S_1} and μ_{S_2} are equivalent, where $S_k \in \{\hat{G}_k, \delta_k, \mathbb{G}_k, \delta_k^T\}$, for $k = 1, 2$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, two finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic if and only if two (two-colored) shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are colored-graph-isomorphic. By the previous theorem, the shadowed graphs $\hat{G}_1$ and $\hat{G}_2$ are (colored) graph-isomorphic if and only if the graph measures μ_{S_1} and μ_{S_2} are equivalent. ■

Remark 1.2. *The two-colored graph setting of shadowed graphs provides us that a shadowed graph $\hat{G}$ of G is uniquely determined up to graph-isomorphisms.*

2. REDUCED DIAGRAM MEASURE THEORY ON GRAPHS

In this chapter, we will consider Reduced Diagram Measure Theory on a finite directed graph G , with respect to the reduced diagram measure μ_{δ^r} of G . Other graph measures will have same or similar Measure Theory. For convenience, we

will denote the reduced diagram measure $\mu_{\delta r}$ by μ_r and we will denote the measure space $(D_r(G^\wedge), P(D_r(G^\wedge)), \mu_r)$ by $(G^\wedge, \mu_r)$. If other graph measures have different results, then we mention about them in remarks. The reason why we concentrate on observing Measure Theory with respect to reduced diagram measures is that reduced diagram measures are bounded measures in the sense that $\mu_r(D_r(G^\wedge)) < \infty$ (and hence $\mu_r(S) < \infty$, for all $S \in P(D_r(G^\wedge))$), and that these measures have more conditions than any other graph measures introduced in this paper.

It is also easy to verify that reduced diagram measures are bounded measures. But, in general, the energy measures and graph groupoid measures are not bounded measures. However, the energy measures and graph groupoid measures are locally bounded measures in the sense that $\mu_{G^\wedge}(F) < \infty$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}(B) < \infty$, for all finite subsets $F \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$ and $B \in P(\mathbb{G})$, respectively.

2.1. μ_r -Measurable Functions.

Let G be the given finite directed graph and $G^\wedge$, the shadowed graph and let $D_r(G^\wedge)$ be the reduced diagram set of $G^\wedge$ and $(G^\wedge, \mu_r)$, the μ_r -measure space. All simple functions g are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad g = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n 1_{S_n}, \quad \text{for } a_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_N$ are subsets of $D_r(G^\wedge)$ and where

$$1_{S_j}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in S_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

are the characteristic functions of S_j , for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. All μ_r -measurable functions are approximated by simple functions, in the same manner of the general measure theory. Recall that all elements in the reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\wedge)$ are basic elements of the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$.

Example 2.1. *Let $w \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then this element w acts as one of μ_r -measurable functions. Note that w acts on $D_r(G^\wedge)$, as the left multiplication or the right multiplication, in the sense that ww' respectively $w'w$, for all $w' \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. So, we can construct two μ_r -measurable functions g_l^w and g_r^w such that*

$$g_l^w = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^w)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_r^w = 1_{\delta^r(S_r^w)},$$

where

$$S_l^w = \{w' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) : ww' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)\}$$

and

$$S_r^w = \{w'' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) : w''w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)\}.$$

Therefore, the element w act as a μ_r -measurable function g_w on $D_r(G^\wedge)$ defined by

$$g_w \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_l^w + g_r^w = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)}.$$

Remark 2.1. For $w \in D(G^\wedge)$, we can understand w as μ_δ -measurable function g_w defined by $1_{\delta(S_l^w) \cup \delta(S_r^w)}$. For $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ or for $w \in \mathbb{G}$, we can determine the $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ -measurable function g_w as a characteristic function $g_w = 1_{S_l^w \cup S_r^w}$. And, for $w \in \mathbb{G}$, the $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ -measurable function g_w as a characteristic function $g_w = 1_{S_{l:\mathbb{G}}^w \cup S_{r:\mathbb{G}}^w}$, respectively, where

$$S_{l:\mathbb{G}}^w = S_l^w \cap \mathbb{G} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{r:\mathbb{G}}^w = S_r^w \cap \mathbb{G}.$$

2.2. μ_r -Integration.

In this section, we will define the integrals of the given μ_r -measurable functions. First, let g be a simple function given in (2.1). Then the integral $I_G(g)$ of g with respect to μ_r is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad I_G(g) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \int_{G^\wedge} g \, d\mu_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mu_r(S_n).$$

Since $\mu_r = d \cup \rho = \rho$, where $d = 0$ and $\rho = \rho|_{D_{FP}^r(G^\wedge)}$, the definition (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g) &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mu_{G^\wedge}(S_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n (d(S_{n,V}) + \rho(S_{n,FP})) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \left(\sum_{w \in S_{n,FP}} |w| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{n,V} = S_n \cap V(G^\wedge)$ and $S_{n,FP} = S_n \cap D_{FP}^r(G^\wedge)$, for $n = 1, \dots, N$.

Remark 2.2. Similar to (2.2), we can define graph integrals for the energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$, the diagram measure μ_δ and the graph groupoid measure $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$, with respect to the σ -algebras $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$, $P(D(G^\wedge))$ and $P(\mathbb{G})$, respectively. So,

$$\int_{G^\wedge} g_1 \, d\mu_{G^\wedge} = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \left(\sum_{w \in S_{n,FP}} |w| \right)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{G}} g_2 d\mu_{\mathbb{G}} = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \left(\sum_{w \in S_n, FP} |w| \right),$$

whenever $g_k = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n 1_{S_n^{(k)}}$ are simple functions, where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and $S_1^{(1)}, \dots, S_N^{(1)} \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^{\wedge}))$ and $S_1^{(2)}, \dots, S_N^{(2)} \in P(\mathbb{G})$, for $k = 1, 2$, respectively.

We can easily verify the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $g_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{S_j}$ and $g_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{T_i}$ be simple functions, where S_j 's and T_i 's are subsets of $D_r(G^{\wedge})$. Suppose that S_j 's are mutually disjoint and also T_i 's are mutually disjoint. If $\cup_{j=1}^n S_j = \cup_{i=1}^m T_i$ in $D_r(G^{\wedge})$, then $I_G(g_1) = I_G(g_2)$. $\square$*

Remark 2.3. *The above proposition holds true for other cases with respect to other graph measures. Also, the above proposition holds true when we deal with the weighted graph measures.*

Let 1_S and 1_T be the characteristic functions, where $S \neq T$ in $D_r(G^{\wedge})$. Then we have that $1_S \cdot 1_T = 1_{S \cap T}$. Thus we can get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $g_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} 1_{S_{j,k}}$ be a simple functions, for $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$I_G(g_1 g_2) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n (a_{1,k} a_{2,i}) \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}} |w| \right).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 g_2 &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{1,k} 1_{S_{1,k}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2,i} 1_{S_{2,i}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} (1_{S_{1,k}} \cdot 1_{S_{2,i}}) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} 1_{S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}} \end{aligned}$$

So, we have that

$$(2.3) \quad I_G(g_1 g_2) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} \mu_r(S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r(S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}) &= d((S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i})_V) + \rho((S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i})_{FP}) \\ &= \rho(S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{w \in S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}} |w|.$$

■

The formula (2.3) is satisfied for all other graph measures when we replace μ_r to $\mu_{G^\wedge}$, $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ and μ_δ . Suppose that g_1 and g_2 are given as in the previous proposition and assume that the families $\{S_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{S_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ are disjoint in $D_r(G^\wedge)$. i.e.,

$$(\cup_{k=1}^n S_{1,k}) \cap (\cup_{i=1}^n S_{2,i}) = \emptyset.$$

Then $I(g_1 g_2) = 0$.

If g is a μ_r -measurable function, then the support of g is denoted by $D_r(G^\wedge : g)$, as a subset of $D_r(G^\wedge)$. i.e.,

$$D_r(G^\wedge : g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{w \in D_r(G^\wedge) : g(w) \neq 0\}.$$

Let's observe more μ_r -measurable functions. First, consider the monomial $g_1(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_x = g_{\delta_x^r}$, for all $x \in D_r(G^\wedge)$, where $g_x = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^x) \cup \delta^r(S_r^x)}$, for all $x \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_1)$ is same as the reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\wedge)$, since g_x is well-defined on $D_r(G^\wedge)$, for all $x \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Thus we have that

$$(2.4) \quad I_G(g_1) = \sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)).$$

Notice that if G is a finite directed graph, then $D_r(G^\wedge)$ is a finite set. i.e., $|D_r(G^\wedge)| < \infty$. Therefore, we can have that;

Proposition 2.3. *If g_1 is a μ_r -measurable function defined by $g_1(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_w$, for all $w \in D_r(G^\wedge)$, then $I_G(g_1) < \infty$. □*

Remark 2.4. *Suppose g_1 is similarly defined as a μ_δ -measurable function, where μ_δ is the diagram measure. Then we can get the same result as in the previous proposition. i.e., $\int_{G^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_\delta < \infty$. However, if we define g_1 similarly with respect to the energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ or with respect to the graph groupoid measure $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$, then we cannot guarantee the boundedness of $\int_{G^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_{G^\wedge}$ and $\int_{G^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$. For instance, if the graph G is a one-vertex-2-loop-edge graph G_2 , then the integrals $\int_{G_2^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_{G_2^\wedge} = \infty = \int_{G_2^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_{\mathbb{G}_2^\wedge}$, since the supports*

$$\mathbb{F}^+(G_2^\wedge : g_1) = \mathbb{F}^+(G_2^\wedge) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{G}_2(G^\wedge : g_1) = \mathbb{G}_2,$$

respectively and

$$|\mathbb{F}^+(G_2^\wedge)| = \infty = |\mathbb{G}_2|,$$

Now, let $g_2(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{\delta_{x_2}^r}$ on $D_r(G^\wedge)$. This monomial g_2 has its support

$$D_r(G^\wedge : g_2) = \left\{ w \in D_r(G^\wedge) : \begin{array}{l} w \in V(G) \\ w \text{ is a loop finite path} \end{array} \text{ or } \right\}.$$

The support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)$ is determined as above, since $g_2(v) = g_{v^2} = g_v$, for all $v \in V(G^\wedge)$, and $g_2(l) = g_{\delta_{l_2}^r} = g_l$, for all (basic) loop finite paths l in $D_r(G)$. Assume now that $w = v_1 w v_2$ is a non-loop finite path in $D_r(G^\wedge)$ with $v_1 \neq v_2$ in $V(G^\wedge)$. Then $w^2 = (v_1 w v_2)(v_1 w v_2) = \emptyset$, and hence $\delta_{w^2} = \delta_\emptyset = \emptyset$, in $D_r(G^\wedge)$. So, in this case, $w \notin D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)$. We can get that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad I_G(g_2) &= \sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \\ &= \sum_{w : \text{loop in } D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)). \end{aligned}$$

In general, we can get that;

Proposition 2.4. *Let $g_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{\delta_{x_n}^r}$ be the monomial, for all $x \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then $I_G(g_n) = I_G(g_2)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, where $I_G(g_2)$ satisfies (2.5).*

Proof. It suffices to show that the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_n)$ of g_n and the support $D_r(G : g_2)$ of g_2 coincide, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. It is easy to check that if w is in $D_r(G^\wedge)$, then w^n exists in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ if and only if either w is a vertex or w is a loop finite path, for $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. So, the support of g_n is

$$D_r(G^\wedge : g_n) = V(G) \cup \text{loop}_r(G), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

where $\text{loop}_r(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{l \in D_r(G) : l \text{ is a loop finite path}\}$. Therefore, the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_n)$ is same as $D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)$ whenever $n \neq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g_n) &= \sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)} I_G(g_w) \\ &= \sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)). \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 2.5. *The monomial g_n on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ and on $\mathbb{G}$ are defined by $g_n(w) = g_{w^n}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, respectively, for all $w \in \mathbb{G}$. The monomial g_n on $D(G^\wedge)$ is*

defined by $g_n(w) = g_{\delta_{w^n}}$, for all $w \in D(G^\wedge)$. When we deal with the energy measure $\mu_{G^\wedge}$ and the graph groupoid measure $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$, the above proposition holds, similarly, but the meaning is of course different. For example, on $D_r(G^\wedge)$ or on $D(G^\wedge)$, there exists $t < \infty$ in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that the cardinality of the set of all loops in $D_r(G^\wedge)$ or in $D(G^\wedge)$ is t , since $D_r(G^\wedge)$ respectively $D(G^\wedge)$ is a finite set, by the finiteness of G . Therefore,

$$I_G(g_n) = I_G(g_2) < \infty \text{ and } \int_{G^\wedge} g_n d\mu_\delta = \int_{G^\wedge} g_n d\mu_\delta < \infty.$$

But on the graph groupoid $\mathbb{G}$, the set of all loop finite paths will be $\{l_i^k : i = 1, \dots, t, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$, whenever $\text{loop}_r(G^\wedge) = \{l_1, \dots, l_t\}$. Thus the graph integral

$$\int_{G^\wedge} g_n d\mu_{\mathbb{G}} = \infty, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

whenever $t \geq 1$. Similarly, $\int_{G^\wedge} g_n d\mu_{G^\wedge} = \infty$.

In the following theorem, we compute the integral of polynomials.

Theorem 2.5. Let $g_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^N a_n g_n$ be a polynomial with $g_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$, for $a_0, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

(2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g_p) = & a_0 \mu_r(D_r(G^\wedge)) + a_1 \left(\sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right) \\ & + \left(\sum_{w \in V(G) \cup \text{loop}_r(G)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right) \left(\sum_{k=2}^N a_k \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{loop}_r(G) = \{l \in D_r(G^\wedge) : l \text{ is a loop finite path}\}$.

Proof. Let g_p be the given polynomial on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g_p) &= \sum_{n=0}^N a_n I_G(g_n) \\ &= a_0 I_G(1) + a_1 I_G(g_1) + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k I_G(g_k) \\ &= a_0 \mu_r(D_r(G^\wedge)) + a_1 \left(\sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k I_G(g_2) \end{aligned}$$

since the constant function 1 has its support, $D_r(G^\wedge)$, and since $I_G(g_n) = I_G(g_2)$, for all $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a_0 \mu_r(D_r(G)) + a_1 \left(\sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k \left(\sum_{w \in V(G) \cup \text{loop}(G^\wedge)} \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 2.6. By the previous theorem and by the previous remark, we verify that $\int_{\mathbb{G}} g_p d\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)} g_p d\mu_{G^\wedge}$ have the similar formulæ like (2.6). However, these integrals are ∞ , in general.

Corollary 2.6. Let $w = v_1 w v_2$ be a finite path in $D_r(G^\wedge)$ with $v_1 \neq v_2$ in $V(G)$, and let $g = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n g_{\delta_{w^n}^r}$, with $g_{w^0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$, where $a_0, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$I_G(g) = a_0 \mu_r(D_r(G^\wedge)) + a_1 \mu_r(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)).$$

Proof. Since w is a non-loop finite path, $w^k = \emptyset$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Therefore, $S_l^{w^k} \cup S_r^{w^k} = \emptyset$, for all $k = 2, 3, \dots, N$. This shows that $I_G(g_w^k) = 0$, for all $k = 2, 3, \dots, N$. So, $I_G(g) = a_0 I_G(1) + a_1 I_G(g_w)$. ■

Corollary 2.7. Let $w = v w v$ be a loop finite path in $D_r(G^\wedge)$, with $v \in V(G^\wedge)$, and let $g = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n g_{\delta_{w^n}^r}$, with $g_{w^0} = 1$, where $a_0, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$I_G(g) = a_0 \mu_r(D_r(G)) + (\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \left(\sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mu_r \right).$$

□

Now, we will consider the map g_{-1} defined by $g_{-1}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{x^{-1}} = g_{\delta_{x^{-1}}^r}$. On $D_r(G^\wedge)$, the map g_{-1} is well-determined because, for any $w \in D_r(G^\wedge)$, there always exists w^{-1} in $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Moreover, the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_w)$ of g_w and the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_{w^{-1}})$ of $g_{w^{-1}}$ are same. In fact, $D_r(G^\wedge : g_{w^{-1}}) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_w)^{-1}$, where X^{-1} means the set $\{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$. Indeed,

(2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
D_r(G^\wedge : g_w) &= S_l^w \cup S_r^w = D_r(G^\wedge : g_w)^{-1} \\
&= S_r^{w^{-1}} \cup S_l^{w^{-1}} = D_r(G^\wedge : g_{w^{-1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can get that

$$(2.8) \quad D_r(G^\wedge : g_{-1}) = D_r(G^\wedge) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_1),$$

since g_1 has its support $D_r(G^\wedge)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let g_{-1} be given as above. Then g_{-1} is μ_r -measurable and $I_G(g_{-1}) = I_G(g_1)$. $\square$*

Remark 2.7. *Define the energy measurable function g_{-1} by $g_{-1}(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{w^{-1}}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, and define the graph groupoid measurable function g_{-1} by $g_{-1}(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{w^{-1}}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{G}$, and define the diagram measurable function g_{-1} by $g_{-1}(w) = g_{\delta_{w^{-1}}}$, for all $w \in D(G^\wedge)$. Then we can have that $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_{-1}) = \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_1)$ and $\mathbb{G}(G^\wedge : g_{-1}) = \mathbb{G}(G^\wedge : g_1)$ and $D(G^\wedge : g_{-1}) = D(G^\wedge : g_1)$, similar to (2.7). Therefore, like the previous proposition,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G^\wedge} g_{-1} d\mu_{G^\wedge} &= \int_{G^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_{G^\wedge}, \quad \int_{G^\wedge} g_{-1} d\mu_{\mathbb{G}} = \int_{G^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_{\mathbb{G}} \\ \text{and} \quad \int_{G^\wedge} g_{-1} d\mu_{\delta} &= \int_{G^\wedge} g_1 d\mu_{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the previous proposition, we can conclude that;

Theorem 2.9. *Define $g_{-n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{\delta_{x^{-n}}^r}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $x \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then $I_G(g_{-n}) = I_G(g_n)$.*

Proof. Observe that if $n \geq 2$, then

$$D_r(G^\wedge : g_{-n}) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_{-2}) = V(G^\wedge) \cup \text{loop}_r(G^\wedge) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_2).$$

So, $I_G(g_{-n}) = I_G(g_{-2}) = I_G(g_2)$. By the previous proposition, $I_G(g_{-1}) = I_G(g_1)$. $\blacksquare$

So, we can consider the trigonometric polynomials on $D_r(G^\wedge)$.

Corollary 2.10. *Let g_k and g_{-k} be given as above, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $g = \sum_{n=-M}^N a_n g_n$ be a trigonometric polynomial with $g_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$, where $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad I_G(g) &= a_0 \mu_r(D_r(G)) + (a_1 + a_{-1}) I_G(g_1) \\ &\quad + I_G(g_2) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=-M}^{-2} a_n + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let g be the given trigonometric polynomial on $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g) &= I_G\left(\sum_{n=-N}^N a_n g_n\right) = \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n I_G(g_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=-M}^{-2} a_n I_G(g_n) + a_{-1} I_G(g_{-1}) + a_0 I_G(g_0) + a_1 I_G(g_1) + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k I_G(g_k) \\ &= \sum_{n=-M}^{-2} a_n I_G(g_n) + a_0 \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(G)) + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k I_G(g_k) \end{aligned}$$

by the previous proposition

$$= a_0 \mu_r(D_r(G)) + (a_{-1} + a_1) I_G(g_1) + \sum_{n=-M}^{-1} a_n I_G(g_2) + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k I_G(g_2)$$

by the fact that $I_G(g_n) = I_G(g_2)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. ■

2.3. Examples.

In this section, we will consider certain finite directed graphs and corresponding graph measures and graph integrals. Let G_Λ be a tree with

$$V(G_\Lambda) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \quad \text{and} \quad E(G_\Lambda) = \{e_1 = v_1 e_1 v_2, e_2 = v_1 e_2 v_3\}.$$

Let G_Δ be a one-flow circulant graph with

$$V(G_\Delta) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \quad \text{and} \quad E(G_\Delta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_1 = v_1 e_1 v_2, \\ e_2 = v_2 e_2 v_3, \\ e_3 = v_3 e_3 v_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Example 2.2. Consider G_Λ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_1}) &= \mu_r(\{v_1, e_1, e_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}\}) \\ &= d(\{v_1\}) + \rho(\{e_1, e_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}\}) \\ &= 0 + \rho(e_1) + \rho(e_2) + \rho(e_1^{-1}) + \rho(e_2^{-1}) \\ &= 4. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_2}) &= \mu_r(\{v_2, e_1, e_1^{-1}\}) = d(\{v_2\}) + \rho(\{e_1, e_1^{-1}\}) \\ &= 0 + 2 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_3}) = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_1}) &= \mu_{G_\Lambda}(\{v_1, v_2, e_1^{-1}, e_1^{-1}e_2, e_2^{-1}\}) \\
&= d(\{v_1, v_2\}) + \rho(e_1^{-1}, e_1^{-1}e_2, e_2^{-1}) \\
&= 0 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 4 = I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_1^{-1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_2}) = 4 = I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_2^{-1}})$. We have that

$$D_r(G_\Lambda) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \cup \{e_1, e_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}, e_1^{-1}e_2, e_2^{-1}e_1\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Lambda}(g_1) &= \sum_{w \in D_r(G_\Lambda)} I_{G_\Lambda}(g_w) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_j}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_k}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_i^{-1}}) + I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_1^{-1}e_2}) + I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_2^{-1}e_1}) \\
&= (4 + 2 + 2) + 4 + 4 + (0 + 0) = 16.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$I_{G_\Lambda}(g_n) = \sum_{j=1}^3 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_j}) = 4 + 2 + 2 = 8,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, because $w^k = \emptyset$, for all $w \in D_{FP}(G_\Lambda)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, and $D_r(G_\Lambda : g_k) = V(G_\Lambda) \cup \text{loop}_r(G_\Lambda) = V(G_\Lambda)$. Therefore,

$$I_{G_\Lambda}(g_p) = 1 + 16 + 8N = 8N + 17,$$

where $g_p = \sum_{n=0}^N g_n$, with $g_0 = 1$, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Also,

$$I_{G_\Lambda}(g_t) = 8M + 16 + 1 + 16 + 8N = 8(N + M) + 33,$$

where $g_t = \sum_{n=-M}^N g_n$, with $g_0 = 1$, for all $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 2.3. Consider the graph G_Δ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Delta}(g_{v_1}) &= \mu_r \left(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} v_1, e_1^{\pm 1}, e_3^{\pm 1}, e_1e_2, e_2^{-1}e_1^{-1}, e_2e_3, \\ e_3^{-1}e_2^{-1}, e_1e_2e_3, e_3^{-1}e_2^{-1}e_1^{-1} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
&= 18
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{G_\Delta}(g_{v_2}) = 18 = I_{G_\Delta}(g_{v_3})$. Also we can have that

$$I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_j}) = 4 = I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_j^{-1}}), \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Indeed, if $j = 1$, then $I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_1}) = \mu_{G_\Delta}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w))$, where

$$\delta^r(S_l^{e_1}) = \{v_2, e_2, e_2e_3\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^r(S_r^{e_1}) = \{v_1, e_3, e_2e_3\},$$

so,

$$S_l^{e_1} \cup S_r^{e_1} = \{v_1, v_2, e_2, e_3, e_2e_3\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_1}) &= \mu_{G_\Delta}(\{v_1, v_2, e_2, e_3, e_2e_3\}) \\ &= d(\{v_1, v_2\}) + \rho(\{e_2, e_3, e_2e_3\}) \\ &= 0 + (1 + 1 + 2) = 4. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the element $l = e_1e_2e_3$ in $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then

$$\delta^r(S_l^l) = \{v_1, l\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^r(S_r^l) = \{v_1, l\},$$

so,

$$\delta^r(S_l^l) \cup \delta^r(S_r^l) = \{v_1, l\}.$$

Therefore,

$$I_{G_\Delta}(g_l) = \mu_{G^\wedge}(\{v_1, l\}) = 3.$$

3. VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS INDUCED BY GRAPH MEASURES

Throughout this chapter, let G be a finite directed graph and $G^\wedge$, the shadowed graph of G and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, $D(G^\wedge)$, $\mathbb{G}$, and $D_r(G^\wedge)$ be the free semigroupoid of $G^\wedge$, the diagram set of $G^\wedge$, the graph groupoid of G and the reduced diagram set of $G^\wedge$, respectively. Also, for the given algebraic structures, let $\mu_{G^\wedge}$, μ_δ , $\mu_{\mathbb{G}}$ and μ_r be the energy measure, the diagram measure, the graph groupoid measure and the reduced diagram measure of G , respectively.

Notation By μ_G , we denote one of the above graph measures if there is no confusion. $\square$

Definition 3.1. Let G be the given graph and let μ_G be a graph measure. Define the graph Hilbert space H_G by the space $L^2(\mu_G)$ of all square integrable μ_G -measurable functions.

Consider the set $L^\infty(\mu_G)$ of all bounded μ_G -measurable functions. i.e., $g \in L^\infty(\mu_G)$ if and only if

$$\|g\|_\infty \stackrel{def}{=} \sup\{|g(w)| : w \in \mathcal{G}\} < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is one of $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$, $D(G)$, $\mathbb{G}$ and $D_r(G)$, for the fixed measure μ_G . Then each element g in $L^\infty(\mu_G)$ can be regarded as a multiplication operator M_g with its symbol g on H_G with its operator norm

$$\|M_g\| = \|g\|_\infty, \text{ for all } g \in L^\infty(\mu_G).$$

It is well-known that the operator algebra $\{M_g : g \in L^\infty(\mu_G)\}$, as a subalgebra of $B(H_G)$, the collection of all bounded linear operators on H_G , is a von Neumann algebra.

Definition 3.2. *Let G be a finite directed graph and let H_G be the graph Hilbert space and $B(H_G)$, the set of all bounded linear operators on H_G . Then the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\mu_G) \subset B(H_G)$, denoted by M_G , is called a graph von Neumann algebra.*

By definition, we can verify the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graphs. Then the graph von Neumann algebras M_{G_1} and M_{G_2} are $*$ -isomorphic if G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic.*

Proof. Assume that G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic. Then the graph measures μ_{G_1} and μ_{G_2} are equivalent. Thus the graph Hilbert spaces H_{G_1} and H_{G_2} are isomorphic, since $H_{G_k} = L^2(\mu_{G_k})$, for $k = 1, 2$. So, the graph von Neumann algebras $L^\infty(\mu_{G_1})$ and $L^\infty(\mu_{G_2})$ are $*$ -isomorphic, as W^* -subalgebras in $B(H_{G_1})$ and $B(H_{G_2})$, respectively. ■

References

- [1] A. G. Myasnikov and V. Shpilrain (editors), Group Theory, Statistics and Cryptography, Contemporary Math, 360, (2003) AMS.
- [2] D. G. Radcliffe, Rigidity of Graph Products of Groups, Alg & Geom. Topology, Vol 3, (2003) 1079 - 1088.
- [3] D. Voiculescu, Entropy of Random Walks on Groups and the Macaev Norm, Proc. AMS, Vol 119, 3, (1993), 971 - 977.
- [4] E. Breuillard and T. Gelander, Cheeger Constant and Algebraic Entropy of Linear Groups, (2005) Preprint.
- [5] F. Balacheff, Volum Entropy, Systole and Stable Norm on Graphs, (2004) Preprint.
- [6] G. C. Bell, Growth of the Asymptotic Dimension Function for Groups, (2005) Preprint.

- [7] I. Cho, Random Variables in a Graph W^* -Probability Space, Ph. D. Thesis, (2005) Univ. of Iowa.
- [8] I. Cho, Graph Von Neumann Algebras and Graph W^* -Probability Spaces : Crossed Product Approach (2006) Preprint.
- [9] I. Cho, Operator Theory on Graphs, (2006), In Progress.
- [10] J. Friedman and J-P. Tillich, Calculus on Graphs, (2005) Preprint.
- [11] J. Stallings, Centerless Groups-An Algebraic Formulation of Gottlieb's Theorem, Topology, Vol 4, (1965) 129 - 134.
- [12] R. G. Bartle, The Elements of Integration, (1966) 1-st Edition, John Wiley & Sons.
- [13] R. Gliman, V. Shpilrain and A. G. Myasnikov (editors), Computational and Statistical Group Theory, Contemporary Math, 298, (2001) AMS.

SAINT AMBROSE UNIVERSITY, DEP. OF MATH, 115 MCM HALL, 518 W. LOCUST ST., DAVENPORT, IOWA, U. S. A.

E-mail address: `chowoo@sau.edu`